

To: Senator Winfield, Representative Stafstrom, ranking members Kissel and Fishbein and distinguished members of the Judiciary Committee:

I live in Lakeville, CT and am a supporter of CT Against Gun Violence. I am a retired corporate finance executive, **the aunt of a gun violence victim and a citizen who has decried the Sandy Hook massacre of children and educators at the hand of a mentally disturbed person - Adam Lanza**. Although he exhibited significant signs of mental instability, he was allowed access to what may loosely be called an arsenal of weapons.

Connecticut was the first state in the nation to pass an Extreme Risk Protection Order law, in 1999. It offers a means of last resort, with due process protections, to temporarily remove firearms from individuals judged to be at risk of imminent harm to themselves or others. It has been shown to prevent firearm suicide and stop mass shootings. It is time to strengthen the law so that it works harder to prevent gun violence. In particular, when the protection order expires, *it should be a requirement* that the subject is no longer at risk of violence before the firearms are returned. That is not the case now.

Claims by opponents that ERPO laws violate due process have no merit. According to the Giffords Law Center, no court has invalidated an extreme risk protection order or risk-warrant law. Courts in Connecticut, Indiana, and Florida that have heard challenges to ERPO laws have held they do not violate the due process and/or are constitutional under the Second Amendment. There is no evidence that the process is used to harass gun owners. Judges are required to have clear and convincing evidence to issue final risk protection orders.

Because there are often warning signs preceding violent or suicidal behavior this last-resort measure with due process protections is an effective tool for reducing gun violence. Because firearm suicide accounts for up to two-thirds of gun deaths and is the second leading cause of suicide in Connecticut, there is an urgent need to strengthen our ERPO law to help it save more lives.

Allowing family members to petition the court directly, as 12 other states do, provides an alternative means of initiating the process for people who are reluctant to involve law enforcement at the outset, because they fear law enforcement or are concerned about stigmatizing the gun owner as a criminal.

I ask that you favorably report HB-6355 out of committee so that the General Assembly can vote to strengthen our Extreme Risk Protection law so that it works harder to prevent firearm suicide and homicide. I cannot understand why anyone would object to legislation that might improve the outcome for those considering suicide or gun violence.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Margaret Monaco

Lakeville, CT 06039